Singularity formation in nonlinear time-dependent PDEs

Birgit Schörkhuber

Fakultät für Mathematik Karlsruher Institut für Technologie birgit.schoerkhuber@kit.edu

Chemnitz Summer School on Applied Analysis 2019 23.-27.09.2019

1

크

Singularity formation in nonlinear partial differential equations

Many processes in natural sciences and applications are mathematically described by time-dependent PDEs (heat equation, wave equation, Schrödinger equation, Navier-Stokes equation, Einstein equations, ...)

Nonlinearities model self-reinforcing/focusing processes \Rightarrow 'blowup' of solutions in finite time

Meaning?

- Limitation of the underlying modelling assumptions
- Physical system undergoes radical changes/formation of singularities
- ► Mathematically: change of solution concept ⇒ continuation of solutions in some weak sense?

Singularity formation - Mathematical questions

- Criteria on initial data to predict break down of solutions?
- When/where/how fast do singularities form (blowup time/blowup point/blowup speed)?
- ▶ How do solutions look like close to the singularity?
- Continuation past the blowup?
- ▶ Behavior of generic solutions \Rightarrow Universality?

Similar mechanisms seem to play a role in very different types of PDEs

Aim of this course

- ▶ Give a basic introduction into the topic
- Show classical methods that shed light on some of the above questions
- Make links to current fields of research

Remark: Singularity formation in nonlinear PDEs is a large and active area of research \Rightarrow only a few aspects can be considered here!

Blowup in nonlinear ODEs

• Example 1: For $p > 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u'(t) = u(t)^p$$

has the blowup solution

$$u(t) = (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\kappa_p, \quad \kappa_p = (\frac{1}{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

• Example 2: For $p > 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u^{\prime\prime}(t) = u(t)^p$$

has the blowup solution

$$u(t) = (T-t)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}c_p, \quad c_p = \left[\frac{2(p+1)}{(p-1)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

 \blacktriangleright In contrast to *focusing* nonlinearities, *defocusing* nonlinearities behave better

$$u''(t) = -u(t)^p$$

for odd $p > 1 \Rightarrow$ no finite-time blowup

Nonlinear PDEs - model problems

Nonlinear wave equation on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\partial_t^2 u(t,x) - \Delta u(t,x) = u(t,x)^p$$

$$u(0,x) = f(x), \partial_t u(0,x) = g(x)$$

Nonlinear heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\partial_t u(t, x) - \Delta u(t, x) = u(t, x)^p$$

 $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$

ODE blowup \Rightarrow explicit example for finite-time blowup

What are the conditions on the initial data to ensure

- ▶ local existence of solutions for $t \in [0, T)$ and some T > 0?
- ▶ global existence or all t > 0, respectively finite time blowup of solutions?

The wave equation



・ロ > ・ (部) ・ (言) ・ (言) ・ 言 のへで 6 / 41

The wave equation



The wave equation



► Free energy

$$E(u)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|\nabla u(t,x)|^2 + |\partial_t u(t,x)|^2 \right) dx$$

Basic energy estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \|\partial_{t}u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \int_{0}^{t} \|F(s,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Representation of solutions - Properties

Explicit solution d = 1, F = 0, d'Alembert's formula:

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x+t) + f(x-t)] + \frac{1}{2}\int_{x-t}^{x+t} g(s)ds.$$

- ▶ Higher space dimensions: Kirchhoff formula, method of descent
- Finite speed of propagation \Rightarrow backward lightcone at $(T, x_0), T > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\mathcal{C}_{T,x_0} := \{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - x_0| \le T - t, t \in [0,T)\}$$



Fourier transform and Sobolev spaces

Fourier transform: For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we define the Fourier transform by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx$$

and

$$f(x) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{f})(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Recall:

•
$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$
 and $\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \simeq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2$

► Convolution: $(f \star g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y)g(y)dy$, $\mathcal{F}(f \star g) = \hat{f}\hat{g}$

• Derivatives:
$$\mathcal{F}(\partial^{\alpha} f)(\xi) = i\xi^{\alpha}\hat{f}(\xi)$$

◆□ → < ⑦ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → ○ Q ○ 8 / 41

Fourier transform and Sobolev spaces

Sobolev spaces $H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$: Completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to

$$\|f\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\xi|^2)^k |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \|(1+|\cdot|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 For $k>\frac{d}{2},$ we have
$$\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)}\lesssim \|f\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

Proof: For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\xi|^2)^{-k/2} (1+|\xi|^2)^{k/2} |\hat{f}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\xi|^2)^{-k} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\xi|^2)^k |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_k \|f\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)} \end{split}$$

with $C_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1+|\xi|^2)^{-k} d\xi < \infty$ for $k > \frac{d}{2}$

The linear wave equation - Fourier representation of solutions

Fourier transform with respect to the spatial variable \Rightarrow

$$\begin{split} &(\partial_t^2 + |\xi|^2)\hat{u}(t,\xi) = \hat{F}(t,\xi) \\ &\hat{u}(0,\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi), \quad \partial_t \hat{u}(0,\xi) = \hat{g}(\xi) \end{split}$$

Fundamental system $\{\sin(|\xi|\cdot), \cos(|\xi|\cdot)\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}(t,\xi) &= c_1(\xi)\cos(|\xi|t) + c_2(\xi)\sin(|\xi|t) \\ &- \cos(|\xi|t) \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|\xi|s)}{|\xi|} \hat{h}(s,\xi)ds + \sin(|\xi|t) \int_0^t \frac{\cos(|\xi|s)}{|\xi|} \hat{h}(s,\xi)ds \end{aligned}$$

Fourier representation

$$\hat{u}(t,\xi) = \cos(|\xi|t)\hat{f}(\xi) + \frac{\sin(|\xi|t)}{|\xi|}\hat{g}(\xi) + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|\xi|(t-s))}{|\xi|}\hat{F}(s,\xi)ds$$

Duhamel's formula

$$\begin{split} u(t,\cdot) &= \cos(|\nabla|t)f + \frac{\sin(|\nabla|t)}{|\nabla|}g + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|\nabla|(t-s))}{|\nabla|}F(s,\cdot)ds\\ &\cos(|\nabla|t)f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\cos(|\xi|t)\hat{f}), \quad \frac{\sin(|\nabla|t)}{|\nabla|}f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\frac{\sin(|\xi|t)}{|\xi|}\hat{f})\\ &< \Box \succ \langle \overline{\Box} \rangle \land \langle \overline{\Xi} \rangle \land$$

The linear wave equation - Energy estimates

H^k - bounds for wave propergators

$$\|\cos(|\nabla|t)f\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \left\|\frac{\sin(|\nabla|t)}{|\nabla|}g\right\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim (1+t)\|g\|_{H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

Energy estimates for the linear wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{k}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \|\partial_{t}u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \\ &\leq C(1+t)\left(\|f\|_{H^{k}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \|g\|_{H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \int_{0}^{t} \|h(s,\cdot)\|_{H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} ds\right) \end{aligned}$$

The linear wave equation - Localized energy estimates

Localized energy

$$E_{u}^{loc}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{B}_{T-t}^{d}(x_{0})} |\nabla u(t,x)|^{2} + |\partial_{t}u(t,x)|^{2} dx$$

Note that $\frac{d}{dt}E_u^{loc}(t) \leq 0$

Energy estimates (localized) For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, T > 0 fix, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and 0 < t < T, $\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{B}^d_{T-t}(x_0))} + \|\partial_t u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^{k-1}(\mathbb{B}^d_{T-t}(x_0))}$ $\lesssim \|f\|_{H^k(\mathbb{B}^d_T(x_0))} + \|g\|_{H^{k-1}(\mathbb{B}^d_T(x_0))} + \int_0^t \|h(s,\cdot)\|_{H^{k-1}(\mathbb{B}^d_{T-s}(x_0))} ds$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The nonlinear wave equation

Nonlinear wave equation on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\partial_t^2 u(t,x) - \Delta u(t,x) = \pm u(t,x)^p$$
$$u(0,x) = f(x), \partial_t u(0,x) = g(x)$$

with p > 1 an odd integer, $(t, x) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $0 \in I$.

- ▶ Sign of the nonlinearity: focusing (+)/defocusing (-)
- Conserved energy

$$E(u)(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 + |\partial_t u(t,x)|^2 dx \mp \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(t,x)|^{p+1} dx$$

▶ Notion of *criticality*: Invariance under rescaling

$$u_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} u(t/\lambda, x/\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0$$

• Energy critical case $p = \frac{d+2}{d-2} =: p_c$

$$E(u_{\lambda})(t) = E(u)(t/\lambda)$$

Definition: Strong H^k -solution

Set $F_{\pm}(u) = \pm u^p$. We say that $u \in C(I, H^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^1(I, H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is a strong H^k -solution if it satisfies for all $t \in I$

$$u(t) = \cos(|\nabla|t)f + \frac{\sin(|\nabla|t)}{|\nabla|}g + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|\nabla|(t-s))}{|\nabla|}F_{\pm}(u(s))ds$$

Theorem

Let $k > \frac{d}{2}$ and suppose $f \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^d), g \in H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There is a T > 0(depending on the norm of the data) such that the initial value problem for the nonlinear wave equation has a unique strong H^k -solution

$$u \in C([0,T], H^k(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap C^1([0,T], H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

Basic idea: Solution via contraction mapping principle

- Duhamel's formula for linear wave equation
- ▶ L^{∞} embedding to control the nonlinearity

Proof d = 3, k = 2 $X := C([0,T], H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with norm $\|u\|_X := \sup_{0 \le t \le T} (\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^1((\mathbb{R}^3)}))$

Proof d = 3, k = 2

 $\blacktriangleright X:=C([0,T],H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap C^1([0,T],H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with norm

$$||u||_X := \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left(||u(t)||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + ||\partial_t u(t)||_{H^1((\mathbb{R}^3))} \right)$$

▶ For R > 0, consider

$$X_R := \{ u \in X : \|u\|_X \le R \}$$

Proof d = 3, k = 2

 $\blacktriangleright X:=C([0,T],H^2(\mathbb{R}^d))\cap C^1([0,T],H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with norm

$$||u||_X := \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left(||u(t)||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + ||\partial_t u(t)||_{H^1((\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)$$

▶ For R > 0, consider

$$X_R := \{ u \in X : \|u\|_X \le R \}$$

For $u \in X$ define a map $u \mapsto K(u)$,

$$K(u)(t) := \cos(|\nabla|t)f + \frac{\sin(|\nabla|t)}{|\nabla|}g + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|\nabla|(t-s))}{|\nabla|}F_{\pm}(u(s,\cdot))ds$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ● ● ●

15 / 41

Proof d = 3, k = 2

▶ $X := C([0,T], H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with norm

$$||u||_X := \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left(||u(t)||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + ||\partial_t u(t)||_{H^1((\mathbb{R}^3))} \right)$$

For R > 0, consider

$$X_R := \{ u \in X : \|u\|_X \le R \}$$

For $u \in X$ define a map $u \mapsto K(u)$,

$$K(u)(t) := \cos(|\nabla|t)f + \frac{\sin(|\nabla|t)}{|\nabla|}g + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|\nabla|(t-s))}{|\nabla|}F_{\pm}(u(s,\cdot))ds$$

15 / 41

▶ We show $K: X_R \to X_R$ is a contraction \Rightarrow apply Banach's fixed point theorem

Proof d = 3, k = 2

▶ $X := C([0,T], H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0,T], H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with norm

$$||u||_X := \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left(||u(t)||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + ||\partial_t u(t)||_{H^1((\mathbb{R}^3))} \right)$$

For R > 0, consider

$$X_R := \{ u \in X : \|u\|_X \le R \}$$

For $u \in X$ define a map $u \mapsto K(u)$,

$$K(u)(t) := \cos(|\nabla|t)f + \frac{\sin(|\nabla|t)}{|\nabla|}g + \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|\nabla|(t-s))}{|\nabla|}F_{\pm}(u(s,\cdot))ds$$

- ▶ We show $K: X_R \to X_R$ is a contraction \Rightarrow apply Banach's fixed point theorem
- ▶ By definition K(u) solves linear wave equation with rhs. $F_{\pm}(u)$

Energy estimates

$$\|K(u)(t)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|\partial_{t}K(u)(t)\|_{H^{1}((\mathbb{R}^{3}))}$$

$$\lesssim (1+t) \left(E_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)^{p}\|_{H^{1}((\mathbb{R}^{3}))} ds\right)$$

where $E_0 := \|f\|_{H^2} + \|g\|_{H^1}$

▶ Estimates for the nonlinearity, $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \Rightarrow u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$||u^p||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C' ||u||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^p$$

Then

$$||K(u)(t)||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + ||\partial_t K(u)(t)||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C(1+T)(E_0 + TC'R^p) \le R$$

for R > 0 sufficiently large and $T \sim E_0^{-(p-1)}$ sufficiently small.

Show $K: X_R \to X_R$ is a contraction: Let $u, v \in X_R$, then

$$K(u)(t) - K(v)(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\sin(|\nabla|(t-s))}{|\nabla|} [F_{\pm}(u(s)) - F_{\pm}(v(s))] ds$$

16 / 41

Energy estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(u)(t) - K(v)(t)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|\partial_{t}K(u)(t) - \partial_{t}K(v)(t)\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq C(1+T)\int_{0}^{t} \|u^{p}(s) - v^{p}(s)\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} ds \end{aligned}$$

• Use
$$u^p - v^p = (u - v) \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} u^{p-1-j} v^j$$
 to get

$$\|u^{p} - v^{p}\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \lesssim \|u - v\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}(\|u\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{p-1} + \|v\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{p-1})$$

▶ We obtain

$$||K(u) - K(v)||_X \le C_0(1+T)TR^{p-1}||u-v||_X \le \frac{1}{2}||u-v||_X$$

for sufficiently small $T \sim E_0^{-(p-1)}$.

▶ Banach fixed point theorem \Rightarrow Existence of a unique solution $u \in X_R$

▶ Unconditional uniqueness: Let $u, \tilde{u} \in X$ be strong H^k -solutions on [0, T], set $v := u - \tilde{u}$

$$\begin{split} \|v(t)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} &+ \|\partial_{t}v(t)\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\lesssim (1+t) \int_{0}^{t} \|v(s)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} (\|u(s)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{p-1} + \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{p-1}) ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{0}^{t} \|v(s)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|\partial_{s}v(s)\|_{H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} ds \end{split}$$

Gronwall inequality $\Rightarrow v = 0$

▶ Persistence of regularity (smooth data implies smooth solution) Smoothness of the nonlinearity: For any $k > \frac{3}{2}$ $\|u^p\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R}^3)}^p$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|\partial_{t}u(t)\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} &\lesssim \|f\|_{H^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|g\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)^{p}\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} ds \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H^{3}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|g\|_{H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + T\|u\|_{X}^{p} \end{aligned}$$

► Use energy estimates in lightcones ⇒ Finite speed of propagation holds for nonlinear problem

Maximal solution/Blowup criterion

There is a $0 < T_+ \leq \infty$ such that

- ▶ There is a strong H^2 solution on $I_+ = [0, T_+)$ which is the only one in I_+
- ▶ If \tilde{u} is another solution on some $I \subset [0, \infty)$, then $I \subseteq I_+$
- ▶ If $T_+ < \infty$ then $\limsup_{t \to T_+} (\|u(t)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}) = \infty$
- ► If $T_+ < \infty$ then $||u(t, \cdot)||_{L^{\infty}([0,T_+) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} = \infty$

Remarks

- ▶ The same strategy works in all space dimension at regularity $s > \frac{d}{2}$
- ▶ Local existence for negative times $[0, T_+) \rightarrow (T_-, T_+)$
- $\succ T_{\pm} = \infty?$

The defocusing wave equation

Can the conserved energy be used to obtain global existence?

$$\|(u(t),\partial_t u(t))\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

Energy estimates

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \|\partial_{t}u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} + \int_{0}^{t} \|u(s)^{p}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} ds$$

Main challenge: Control of the nonlinear term

► Special case: d = 3, p = 3 Sobolev embedding $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ $\|u(t)^3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|u(t)\|^3_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|^3_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$

Fixed point argument in

$$X := \{ C([0,T], \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap C^1([0,T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \}$$

 $X_R \subset X, R \sim ||(f,g)||_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \Rightarrow$ solution on $[0,T], T \sim R^{-2}$

Defocusing case

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 + |\partial_t u(t,x)|^2 \le E_{(f,g)}$$

for all $t > 0 \Rightarrow$ Global existence of solutions!

20 / 41

$\rm Local/global$ existence of solutions in the energy space

Remarks

- ▶ More general: Control of the nonlinearity via Strichartz estimates \Rightarrow Local existence in the energy space for 1
- ▶ Global existence for the defocusing wave equation for 1
- Energy space not suitable for supercritical problems $p > p_c$
- No globally (in time) controlled quantities at higher Sobolev regularities

Big open question: Global existence for the defocusing wave equation in the supercritical case $p > p_c$?

The focusing wave equation - Finite-time blowup

For the focusing wave equation, finite-time blowup solutions do exist

$$\partial_t^2 u(t,x) - \Delta u(t,x) = u(t,x)^p$$

$$u(0,x) = f(x), \partial_t u(0,x) = g(x)$$

ODE blowup

$$u_T(t,x) = (T-t)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}c_p, \quad c_p = \left[\frac{2(p+1)}{(p-1)^2}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}, \quad T > 0$$

• Define smooth initial data (f, g) such that

$$f(x) = u_T(0, x), \quad g(x) = \partial_t u_T(0, x) \quad \forall x \in \overline{\mathbb{B}^3_{2T}}$$

and f(x) = g(x) = 0 for $|x| \ge 3T$.

Finite speed of propagation \Rightarrow the solution blows up at t = T on \mathbb{B}_T^3

The focusing nonlinear wave equation

We use this example to show that for p = 7 the initial value problem is not locally well-posed in the energy space $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

- We have the explicit solution $u(t,x) = (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{3}}c_7$
- ▶ Define initial data (f,g) as above \Rightarrow the corresponding solution blows up at t = 1
- ▶ Rescaling $u_{\lambda}(t, x) = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}} u(t/\lambda, x/\lambda)$. Then

$$(u_{\lambda}, \partial_t u_{\lambda})|_{t=0} = (f_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda}) = (u_{\lambda}^*, \partial_t u_{\lambda}^*)|_{t=0}$$
 in $\mathbb{B}^3_{2\lambda}$

 $\Rightarrow u_{\lambda}(t,x)$ blows up at $t = \lambda$

▶ Define a sequence $(\lambda_j) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \lambda_j = 0, \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{1/6} < \infty$$

▶ Define $(f_{\lambda_j}, g_{\lambda_j})$,

$$\|\nabla f_{\lambda_j}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \lambda_j^{1/6} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

23 / 41

and similar for g_{λ_i} .

The focusing nonlinear wave equation

• Choose $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\lim_{j\to\infty} x_j$ exists and such that the supports of

$$\tilde{f}_j(x) := f_{\lambda_j}(x - x_j), \quad \tilde{g}_j(x) := g_{\lambda_j}(x - x_j)$$

are mutually disjoint.

▶ Define

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_j, \quad \tilde{g} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_j$$

Then

$$\|\nabla \tilde{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{1/6} \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

and similar for \tilde{g}

► By taking

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_j, \quad \tilde{g} = \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_j$$

for some large $N \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$ data arbitrarily small in $\dot{H}^1 \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

The focusing wave equation - Finite-time blowup

Levine (1974): Negative energy \Rightarrow Finite-time blowup Let (f, g) be smooth, compactly supported initial data with

$$E_0 := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f(x)|^2 + |g(x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^{p+1} dx < 0$$

Then the corresponding solution cannot exist for all times.

Proof: e.g. [Evans, Chapter 12]

- ► Define $I(t) := ||u(t, \cdot)||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ and $J(t) := I(t)^{-\alpha}, 2 + 4\alpha = p + 1$
- Use energy conservation
- $E(0) < 0 \Rightarrow J(t)$ is a convex function for all $t \ge 0$
- Argue by contradiction

25 / 41

The nonlinear heat equation

Nonlinear heat equation on \mathbb{R}^d

$$\partial_t u(t,x) - \Delta u(t,x) = u(t,x)^p$$

 $u(0,x) = u_0(x)$

▶ Scale invariance $u \mapsto u_{\lambda}$

$$u_{\lambda}(t,x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0$$

Energy

$$E(u)(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u(t,x)|^{p+1} dx$$

Energy dissipation $\frac{d}{dt}E(u)(t) \leq 0, \forall T > 0$

▶ 'Energy critical' exponent for $d \ge 3$

$$p_c := \frac{d+2}{d-2}$$

▶ Blowup in finite time if E(u)(0) < 0

The linear heat equation

We consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u(t,x) &- \Delta u(t,x) = 0 \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0 \\ u(0,x) &= u_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

▶ Solution via Fourier transform \Rightarrow

$$u(t,x) = [G_t * u_0](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_t(x-y)u_0(y)dy =: [S(t)u_0](x)$$

with heat kernel $G_t(x) = (4\pi t)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$

• $G_t(x) > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_t(x) dx = 1$

▶ Semigroup property: $G_{s+t} = G_s * G_t \Rightarrow$

$$S(t+s)u_0 = S(t)S(s)u_0, \quad \forall t > s > 0$$

- ▶ Maximum principle: $u_0(x) \ge 0 \Rightarrow u(t,x) > 0, \forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- $u_0 \ge 0 \Rightarrow \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} (4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}} u(t, x) = \|u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$

27 / 41

The nonlinear heat equation - a Fujita-type result

Solution concept: Classical solutions or solutions that satisfy for $t \in [0, T)$

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)u(s)^p ds$$
(1)

▶ Fujita exponent $1 < p_F < p_c$

$$p_F = 1 + \frac{2}{d}$$

Theorem (Blowup for 1)

Let $1 , <math>u_0 \geq 0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there is no non-negative global solution to Eq. (1).

Remark: Such critical exponents can also be found for the nonlinear wave equation ('Strauss' exponent)

The nonlinear heat equation - Fujita-type results

Sketch of the proof for 1 , see book [Quittner-Souplet 2007, Sec.18]

- ▶ Basic idea: Compare decay estimates for 'free' evolution $S(t)u_0$ implied by Eq. (1) with linear decay rate $t^{-\frac{d}{2}}$
- ▶ More precisely, from Eq. (1) we obtain that

$$[S(t)u_0](x) \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

This implies

$$(4\pi t)^{\frac{d}{2}}[S(t)u_0](x) \lesssim t^{\frac{d}{2}-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

such that for 1

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}} [S(t)u_0](x) = 0$$

which contradicts

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}} [S(t)u_0](x) = ||u_0||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Self-similar blowup solutions

- ▶ Scale invariant problems \Rightarrow self-similar solutions?
- ▶ We first consider this for the nonlinear wave equation

Self-similar blowup solutions

$$u_T(t,x) = (T-t)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} U(\frac{|x|}{T-t})$$

▶ Insert this ansatz into the nonlinear wave equation \Rightarrow nonlinear ODE

$$(1-\rho^2)U''(\rho) + \left(\frac{2}{\rho} - \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1}\rho\right)U'(\rho) - \frac{2(p+1)}{(p-1)^2}U(\rho) = U(\rho)^p$$

- Finite speed of propagation \Rightarrow look for solutions that are smooth at least in a backward lightcone, i.e., for all $\rho \in [0, 1]$
- Trivial solution $U_0(\rho) = c_p$ for all $d \ge 1$.
- Non-trivial profiles exist in the subcritical and supercritical case (ODE methods, numerics)

The focusing cubic wave equation - Non-trivial self-similar blowup

Glogić-S., arXiv preprint (2018)] *Explicit* example:
$$p = 3, d \ge 5$$
,
 $u_T^*(t,x) = (T-t)^{-1} U^*\left(\frac{|x|}{T-t}\right), \quad U^*(\rho) = \frac{2\sqrt{2(d-1)(d-4)}}{d-4+3\rho^2}$



Figure: Blowup solution $u_1^*(t,r) = (1-t)^{-1} U^*(\frac{r}{1-t})$ for d=7

The wave equation - Stable blowup behavior?

Q: Do self-similar solutions reflect properties of generic blowup solutions?

Numerical experiments [Bizoń-Chmaj-Tabor, Nonlinearity 17 (2004)]

- ▶ ODE blowup describes behavior of generic blowup solutions locally around blowup point
- ▶ u_T^* appears at the threshold between finite-time blowup and global existence [Maliborski-Glogić-S., arXiv preprint (2019)]

Some analytic results

- ▶ d = 1: ODE blowup describes universal blowup behavior in backward lightcone of the blowup point [Merle-Zaag], J. Funct. Anal. 253 (2007)
- ▶ d ≥ 3: ODE blowup is stable under small perturbations in backward lightcone of the blowup point [Donninger-S., Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 9 (2012), Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014)]
- ▶ Co-dimension one stability of u_T^* [Glogić-S., arXiv preprint (2018)]

Analysis of self-similar blowup behavior

▶ Reformulation of the problem using similarity coordinates

$$\xi = \frac{x - x_0}{T - t}, \quad \tau = -\log(T - t) + \log T$$



Analysis of self-similar blowup behavior

▶ Reformulation of the problem using similarity coordinates

$$\xi = \frac{x - x_0}{T - t}, \quad \tau = -\log(T - t) + \log T$$



Analysis of self-similar blowup behavior

Reformulation of the problem using similarity coordinates

$$\xi = \frac{x - x_0}{T - t}, \quad \tau = -\log(T - t) + \log T$$



 $\text{Rescaled variable } \psi(\tau,\xi) = (T-t)^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(t,x) \\ \left(\partial_{\tau}^2 + \frac{p+3}{p-1}\partial_{\tau} + 2\xi^j \partial_{\xi^j} \partial_{\tau} - (\delta^{jk} - \xi^j \xi^k) \partial_{\xi^j} \partial_{\xi^k} + 2\frac{p+1}{p-1}\xi^j \partial_{\xi^j} + 2\frac{p+1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \psi = \psi^p$

▶ Self-similar solutions that blowup at $(T, x_0) \Rightarrow$ static solution

The nonlinear heat equation - Self-similar blowup

Self-similar blowup solutions:

$$u(t,x) = (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} f(\frac{x}{T-t}), \quad T > 0$$

The profiles w satisfy the elliptic equation

$$-\Delta w(y) + \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w(y) + \frac{1}{p-1}w(y) = w(y)^p \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

With $\sigma(y) = e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4}}$ we write

$$-\nabla\left(\sigma(y)\nabla w(y)\right) = \sigma(y)\left(w(y)^p - \frac{1}{p-1}w(y)\right)$$
(2)

Constant solutions

$$w(y) = 0, \quad w(y) = \pm (1-p)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

In particular: The ODE blowup is a trivial self-similar solution

The nonlinear heat equation - Self-similar blowup

Theorem (Giga-Kohn, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 38 (1985), no. 3) For 1 there are no non-trivial solutions to Eq. (2).

<u>Sketch of the proof:</u> Multiply Eq. (2) with w and $|y|^2 w$ to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla w(y)|^2 \sigma(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|w(y)|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{p-1} |w(y)|^2 \right) \sigma(y) dy$$
(3)

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^2 |\nabla w(y)|^2 \sigma(y) dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^2 |w(y)|^{p+1} \sigma(y) dy \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(d|w(y)|^2 - \frac{p+1}{2(p-1)} |y|^2 |w(y)|^2 \right) \sigma(y) dy \end{aligned}$$
(4)

4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 の Q (や 35 / 41

The nonlinear heat equation - Self-similar blowup

Multiplication by $y \cdot \nabla w$ implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{|y|^2}{4} + \frac{2-d}{2} \right) |\nabla w(y)|^2 \sigma(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{|y|^2}{2} - d \right) \left(\frac{1}{p+1} |w(y)|^{p+1} - \frac{1}{2(p-1)} |w(y)|^2 \right) \sigma(y) dy$$
(5)

Then $2d \times (3) - (4) + 2(p+1) \times (5)$ yields

Pohozaev identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left((2-d)p + d + 2) |\nabla w(y)|^2 \sigma(y) dy + \frac{p-1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y|^2 |\nabla w(y)|^2 \sigma(y) dy = 0 \right)$$

This implies that w = const. for $d \le 2$ or $d \ge 3$ and 1

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 書 ト 4 書 ト 書 の 4 で
36 / 41

The nonlinear heat equation - Self-similar blowup solutions for $p > p_c$

For $p > p_c$, there exist radial non-trivial self-similar blowup solutions.

Example: $p = 2, 7 \le d \le 15,$ $u_T(x,t) = (T-t)^{-1} f(\frac{|x|}{\sqrt{T-t}}), \quad f(\rho) = \frac{24a}{(a+\rho^2)^2} + \frac{b}{a+\rho^2}$ (6)

with constants

$$a = 2(10\sqrt{1+\frac{d}{2}} - d - 14), \quad b = 24(\sqrt{1+\frac{d}{2}} - 2)$$



Figure: Blowup solution $u_T(t,r) = (1-t)^{-1} f(\frac{r}{1-t})$ for d = 7, T = 1

<ロ > < 部 > < 書 > < 書 > 差 う Q (や 37 / 41

Stable self-similar blowup in related models

Example 1 (co-rotational wave maps into \mathbb{S}^3)

$$\partial_t^2 \psi(t,r) - \partial_r^2 \psi((t,r) - \frac{2}{r} \partial_r \psi((t,r) + \frac{\sin(2\psi((t,r))}{r^2} = 0$$

Scale invariance $\psi \mapsto \psi_{\lambda}(t,r) := \psi(\lambda t, \lambda r), \ \lambda > 0$

Self-similar blowup solution (gradient blowup)

$$\psi_T(t,r) = 2 \arctan(\frac{r}{T-t})$$



Figure: Blowup solution $\psi_1(t, r)$

Stable self-similar blowup in related models

Example 1 (co-rotational wave maps into \mathbb{S}^3)

$$\partial_t^2 \psi(t,r) - \partial_r^2 \psi((t,r) - \frac{2}{r} \partial_r \psi((t,r) + \frac{\sin(2\psi((t,r))}{r^2} = 0$$

Scale invariance $\psi \mapsto \psi_{\lambda}(t,r) := \psi(\lambda t, \lambda r), \ \lambda > 0$

Extension past the blowup time [Biernat-Donninger-S., to appear in IMRN]

$$\psi_T^*(t,r) = 4 \arctan\left(\frac{r}{T-t+\sqrt{(T-t)^2+r^2}}\right)$$



Stable self-similar blowup in related models

Example 2 (Yang-Mills heat flow)

$$\partial_t u(r,t) - \partial_r^2 u(r,t) - \frac{d+1}{r} \partial_r u(r,t) = 3(d-2)u^2(r,t) - (d-2)r^2 u^3(r,t)$$

Self-similar blowup solution for $d \ge 5$ [Weinkove, Calc. Var. PDE 19 (2004)]

$$u_T^*(r,t) = \frac{1}{T-t} W\left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right), \quad W(\rho) = \frac{1}{a\rho^2 + b}$$



Energy critical wave equation $p = p_c$

Explicit static solution

$$W(x) = \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{d(d-2)}\right)^{-(d-2)/2}$$

[Kenig-Merle, Acta Math. 201 (2008)] For E((f,g)) < E(W), if

- ▶ $\|\nabla f\|_{L^2} < \|\nabla W\|_{L^2} \Rightarrow$ Global existence.
- ▶ $\|\nabla f\|_{L^2} > \|\nabla W\|_{L^2} \Rightarrow$ Finite time blowup.

Type II blowup solutions

$$u(t,x) \sim \lambda(t)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} W(\frac{x}{\lambda(t)}), \qquad \lambda(t) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to T$$

d = 3: [Krieger-Schlag-Tataru, Duke Math. J. 147 (2009)] d = 4: [Hillairet-Raphaël, Anal. PDE 5 (2012)] [...]

Further reading

Books/Lecture Notes

- ▶ L.C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations (Second Edition)
- ▶ C. Sogge, Lectures on non-linear wave equations.
- ▶ J. Shatah, M. Struwe, Geometric wave equations
- ▶ W. Strauss, Nonlinear Wave Equations
- ► T. Tao, Nonlinear dispersive equations. Local and global analysis
- > P. Quittner, P. Souplet Superlinear parabolic problems

Thank you for your attention!

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 のへで